1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 6 WILLIE J. McCOO, 7 Petitioner, 8 Case No. C14-1425-RSL-JPD 9 v. MINUTE ORDER MIKE OBENLAND, 10 Respondent. 11 12 13 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable James P. 14 Donohue, United States Magistrate Judge: Petitioner recently submitted to the Court for consideration two motions to amend his 15 16 federal habeas petition. (Dkts. 46 and 47.) Attached to each motion was a proposed amended 17 petition. (Id.) Petitioner indicates in his motions to amend that the amended petitions were filed 18 in response to objections by respondent to a series of motions to supplement previously filed by 19 petitioner. (See id.) Respondent argued that petitioner's motions to supplement were essentially 20 motions to amend and, as such, were procedurally deficient because petitioner failed to submit a 21 proposed amended petition with his motions. (See Dkt. 39 and 42.) Petitioner's proposed 22 amended petitions appear to essentially be re-formatted versions of his prior motions to

MINUTE ORDER - 1

supplement.

23

Case 2:14-cv-01425-RSL Document 52 Filed 07/22/15 Page 2 of 2

In a Report and Recommendation issued on July 21, 2015, this Court fully addressed petitioner's motions to supplement. In doing so, the Court noted that the motions were, in fact, procedurally deficient in that petitioner failed to attached a proposed amended petition to any of the motions. However, the Court also addressed the substance of the motions. As petitioner's recent submissions are essentially duplicative of matters already addressed by the Court, petitioner's motions to amend (Dkts. 46 and 47) are DENIED as moot. DATED this 22nd day of July, 2015. WILLIAM McCOOL, Clerk By s/Rhonda Stiles Deputy Clerk MINUTE ORDER - 2